Application No. Applicant(s) 10/568.045 HAMASAKA ET AL. Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit DANIEL TEKLE 2481 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) _____. (1) DANIEL TEKLE. (4)____. (2) Joseph W. Price . Date of Interview: 26 August 2011. □ Telephonic □ Video Conference Type: ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant applicant's representative ⊠ No If Yes, brief description: . Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☐Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion) Claim(s) discussed: 14. Identification of prior art discussed: Kanazawa et al. (US 6,580,870) . Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...) Examiner discussed claim 14 issues, during the interview Joseph W. Price agreed to an examiner amendement. The amended claims are outlined in the notice of allowance... Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview. Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

Examiner, Art Unit 2481
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOI -413B (Rev. 8/11/2010)

Attachment

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2481